



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,584	06/14/2001	Alden R. Wilner	01-076	5870
7590 12/09/2004			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			BADERMAN, SCOTT T	
LSI LOGIC CO	RPORATION			
M/S D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1551 McCARTHY BLVD.			2113	
MILPITAS, CA 95035			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisom: Action	09/881,584	WILNER, ALDEN R.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott T Baderman	2113
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the complete timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortine later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Cod of extension and the corresponding are of the shortened statutory period for replocifice later than three months after the market of the safer the market of the safer the market was after the market of the safer the safer the market of the safer t	ing date of the final rejection.  THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10 ☐ Other		

Scott T Baderman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2113

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Reasons are set forth in the Office action mailed September 8, 2004 .

## Grounds for Rejection

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuchs et al. (6,141,770).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott T Baderman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:45 AM-4:15 PM, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott T Baderman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2113